

# Multilevel Priority Packet scheduling scheme for WSN

*Bhagyashree D. Yelne*  
*Divyani C. Ingle*  
*Rina K. Motghare*  
*Tejashree B. Pandit*  
*Disha A. Kathane*  
*Rajshri V. Suryawanshi*  
*UG student*

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication,  
Smt. Rajshree Mulak College of Engg. For women  
Nagpur, Maharashtra, India  
bhyelne@gmail.com

*Prof. Chetana V. Samundre*  
*Assistant Professor*

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication,  
Smt. Rajshree Mulak College of Engg. For women  
Nagpur, Maharashtra, India  
samundre.chetana@gmail.com

**Abstract**—Wireless sensor network (WSN) consist of compact distributed self-organizing wireless nodes with small amount of CPU memory, low processing power and low battery capacity. The wireless nodes generates different types of data packets such as real time and non-real time data packets because it sense environmental situations. WSN uses most existing packets scheduling system i.e. First come first serve (FCFS). In FCFS concept the data packets which enter the node first will leave the node first. In this process there might be starvation of real time data packets because data packets are processed according to the time but they are not processed according to priority. Scheduling different type of package in WSN is highly important since it ensure deliver of different type of packets based on their priority and fairness with minimum latency.


**Index Terms**— FIFO, Wireless Sensor Network, data waiting time, real-time, non-real-time, packet scheduling.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor networks (WSN) consist of more than hundreds of small spatially distributed autonomous devices using sensors called sensor nodes to monitor the physical and environmental situations such as sound vibration, temperature, pressure, motion and intensity of light [1]. WSN has gained a great value and importance due to flexibility, cheaper implementation cost, mobility etc. The sensor networks are expected to play increasingly important role in future

especially in monitoring and military applications on large scales and it consists of small and inexpensive sensor nodes that have limited memory, limited computing power, and that operate using batteries [2].

Scheduling of different packets at the sensor nodes is very important as ensures the delivery of the data packet on the priority basis. The sensed data may be real time or non-real time. Highest priority should be given to real time data sense by the node compare to non-real time data packet. Sometime the nodes may be put to sleep mode, when there is no data packet available and as soon as the data packet arrives at the node is putted into wake mode. This reduce the sensor node energy consumption [3].



**Fig.1 Diagram of energy efficient multilevel priority packet scheduling scheme for WSN**

Sensor nodes are smart, small in size light weight that monitor physical and environmental situations. The data sensed at the wireless sensor nodes is to be sent to a base station nodes via LAN connection that connects all the nodes of WSN that uses very less bandwidth base station collect the data from various nodes using single hop transmission and sometimes multi hop transmission.

The packet scheduling scheme for WSN, in which overcome all drawback occurred in existing scheduling algorithm. The DMP packet scheduling scheme for WSN, in which sensor nodes are virtually organized hierarchical structure. In DMP packet scheduling scheme for WSN, where each node maintains three level into its queue for three different types of data packets. This is because we classify data packets as (1) real time (highest or priority1), (2) non real time remote packets i.e., packet that arrive from the sensors nodes at lower level (priority 2), and (3) non real time local packet (lowest priority 3). Non-real time data packets are classified based on the location on sensor nodes to balance to end-to-end delay of data packets that are generated at different locations. Non-real time data traffic with the same priority are processed using the shortest job first (SJF) scheduler scheme since it is very efficient in terms of average task waiting time[4].

## II. RELATED WORK

In this section, we define the following terminologies and factors that are used in packet scheduling scheme.

**Earliest Deadline First (EDF) [4]:**-Whenever a number of data packets are available at the node and each packet has a deadline within which it should be sent to base station. The data packet which has the earliest deadline is sent first. Data that have travelled the longest distance from the source node to base station and have the shortest deadline, are given highest priority. If the deadline of a particular data packet expires, the most suitable data packets are sent at an intermediate node.

**First Come First Serve (FCFS) [4]:**-The first come, first served scheduling system is the simplest scheduling system in which packets are processed as they come. It is the method that was used to support real-time communication. In this scheduling system it might be possible that the data packet that should reach base station as early as possible take time. Therefore to avoid time consumption the data packets are prioritized. Packet Type Packet scheduling schemes can be classified based on the types of data packets, which are as follows.

**Real-time data packets [4]:** - Packets at sensor nodes should be scheduled based on their types and priorities. Real-time data

packets are considered as the highest priority packets among all data packets that are present at the node. Hence, they are processed with the highest priority and delivered to the base station with a minimum possible end-to-end delay.

**Non-real-time data packets [4]:**-Non-real time data packets have lower priority than real-time data packets. They are hence delivered to BS either using first come first serve or shortest job first basis when no real-time packet exist sensor node.

## III. PROPOSED SYSTEM

In This section, we define some of the Terminologies and general assumptions which are used in designing the Dynamic Multilevel priority (DMP) Packet Scheduling Scheme [4].

### 3.1 Assumptions

- We make some of the following assumptions to design and implement DMP packet Scheduling Scheme.
- Only real time data packets and non-real time data packets are present in the data traffic medium for e.g. non-real –time data sensed by temperature data and real-time data body sensors.
- Both types of data packets are of same size i.e. real-time and non-real –time.
- In the network all sensors node are time synchronized.
- For real- time data there is no data aggregation is performed at intermediate nodes.
- Depending upon the Number of hop counts nodes are considered located at different levels.
- By Using TDMA scheme timeslots are located to nodes.
- Each sensor nodes have ready queue which is divided into three individual queues only (For real –time data pr1, for non-real-time data pr2 and non-real-time local data pr3.
- The length is variable for data queues in sensor nodes. For instant pr1 length is smaller than pr2 and pr3 queues are same [5].

### 3.2 Terminologies


In this section, we described the following factors and terminologies which are important in designing the DMP packet Scheduling scheme [5].

### 3.3 Routing protocol

Routing protocol in which network is a virtually arrange into a hierarchical structure, considering base station act as the root node, and the sensor nodes that are adjacent to the base station are deem to be at level and nodes in zone with hop distance of 1 from base node are refer to be at level1 and so on, and nodes which are situated at the boundary are called as leaf nodes. To avoid complete depletion of Energy of a sensors node, a Zone-based Routing protocol is used [4].

### 3.4 TDMA scheme

Packet or Task Scheduling at every nodal level is done using a Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) scheme. Every level in the Routing protocol is distributed with a time slot. There is a variation of time slots. In the design of DMP scheduling variable time slots are used because nodes at lower levels have more number of packets as compared to the nodes which are far from the base station. Considering the Observation, the length of time slots at upper-level nodes is set to a higher value [5].



**Fig.2 Proposed dynamic multilevel priority (DMP) packet scheduling scheme**

Round-robin scheduling within the Queue-Depending on the scheduling the DMP packet scheduling, Data packets i.e. real-time and non-real time data packets are scheduled among the multiple queues. Existing scheduling technique are SJF and FCFS scheduling within in queue of these scheduling techniques of starvation free, so we propose a round robin scheduling neither round robin approach. In this approach the ready queue is performed as circular queue.

Following are some observations of Round robin:

By default it is preemptive algorithm rather than non-preemptive.

For processing at least once it allocates CPU to a packets in a row [5].


### 3.5 Priority

As we discussed before, there are two types of data packets, in which real-time data packets and emergency data should have highest priority and non-real-time data packets is transfer the priority depending on the sensed location and size of data. The data packets that are received by node n from the lower level are given highest priority. however, If it is noted that the lower priority non-real timer local data cannot be transmitted due to continuous coming up of higher priority and non-real-time remote data, they are preempted to allow low-priority data packets to be preserve after an inescapable waiting period [5].


### 3.6 Fairness

This metric convince hat packets of extraneous priorities find out with a minimum waiting time at the ready queue based on the priority of tasks or packets. For instance, if any lower-priority packets waits for a long period of time for the continuous reach of greater-priority packets, fairness defines a constraint that permit the lower-priority packets to attain processed after a secure waiting time. Location on sensor nodes to balance to end-to-end delay of data packets that are generated at different locations. Non-real time data traffic with the same priority are processed using the shortest job first (SJF) scheduler scheme since it is very efficient in turns of average task waiting time. Module 1-Most existing wireless sensor network operating system use first come first serve scheduling system. In this scheduling system priority of data packets will not be taken into account. Data packets will be transmitted as they arrives to the node.


## 4. Result:




**Fig.3 Wireless sensor network**




**Fig.4 Node 3 receives the data from neighbor node at more over same time**




**Fig.5 After buffering the packets, node sends the high priority data as first**




**Fig.6 Delay comparison**



**Fig.7 Real time packet delay**



**Fig.8 Non-real time packet delay**



**Fig.9 Energy comparison**

#### IV. CONCLUSION

An energy efficient multilevel priority task scheduler has better than FCFS, and multilevel queue scheduler in terms of average task waiting time, both for real-time tasks, and all types of tasks. Using the concept of three level priority queues at each node, the proposed DMP task scheduling scheme allow different types of data packets to be processed base on their priorities. Dynamic Multilevel Priority (DMP) packet scheduling scheme, its prerequisites and the factors that are being considered in the algorithm. This paper deals with issues such as – how the starvation of both types of data packets is avoided, how the processing overload, average end-to-end delay is reduced for the delivery of both real-time and non-real-time data packets. We studied the DMP packets scheduling scheme that improves the overall performance of scheduling in a WSN [4].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Pooja Priyanka, P.D. Chidambara Rao, “Dynamic multilevel priority packet scheduling scheme for wireless sensor network” in, *international journals of innovative technologies vol.04, Issue.10, August-2016.*
- [2] J. Nivetha, A.Deepa, “An energy efficient packet scheduling scheme in wireless sensor networks to prevent deadlock” in, *international journal of innovative research in computer and communication engineering vol.2, Issue.11, November-2014.*
- [3] Pallavi Sawale, Dr. D.J. Pete “DMP packet scheduling for wireless sensor network” in, *American journal of engineering research vol.04, Issue.01, August-2015.*
- [4] Shantveer, Dr.Jagadish S.Kallimani, “A study on dynamic multilevel priority packet scheduling scheme for WSNs” in, *international journal of latest technology in engineering, management and applied science vol.05, Issue.8, August-2016.*
- [5] Nidal Nassar, Lutful Karim, and tarik Taleb “Dynamic Multilevel Priority Packet Scheduling Scheme for Wireless sensor network” in *IEE Translations on wireless communications, Vol.12, No.4, April-2013.*